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Fig. S1. Top row: observed daily min(Π) for DJF data in NAM, EMB and EAS(east of 90°E) respectively. The second, third and fourth rows show the comparison of predictability metrics derived from observations with those derived from the two RCM models and NCEP-2 reanalysis in terms of the ratio of the modelled value to the observed. The color scale is logarithmic. Stations with black outline are in mountainous regions, those without are in plain regions. M/O stands for model/observation.

Fig. S2. As in Fig. S1, but for max(Π).

Fig. S3. As in Fig. S1, but for α(Π).

Fig. S4. Columns 1-3 show estimated probability density functions of log(M/O) conditioned on observed values of predictability metrics (min(Π), max(Π) and α(Π)) for results obtained from the two RCMs and NCEP2 reanalysis in NAM for DJF. Filled contours are obtained by using data from all stations in the region; red and green contours are obtained by stations only from mountainous and plain regions respectively. The last column shows the histogram of the dot product of the unit vectors in the directions of minimum predictability and maximum M/O for both mountainous and plain stations.

Fig. S5. As in Fig. S4, but in EMB for JJA

Fig. S6. As in Fig. S4, but in EMB for DJF

Fig. S7. As in Fig. S4, but in EAS(east of 90°E) for JJA

Fig. S8. As in Fig. S4, but in EAS(east of 90°E) for DJF

Fig. S9. Estimated probability density functions of α(Π) conditioned on ζ and η in the coordinated system defined by (e_min(Π), e_min(Π), e_max(Π)) for observations and all comprehensive models in the NAM domain for DJF. Filled contours are obtained by using data from all stations in the region; red and green contours are obtained using stations in mountainous and plain regions respectively.

Fig. S10. As in Fig. S9, but in EMB for JJA

Fig. S11. As in Fig. S9, but in EMB for DJF

Fig. S12. As in Fig. S9, but in EAS(east of 90°E) for JJA

Fig. S13. As in Fig. S9, but in EAS(east of 90°E) for DJF

Fig. S14. Estimated probability density functions of predictability metrics (min(Π), max(Π), and α(Π)) conditioned on ln(γ) for observations and all comprehensive models in the NAM domain for DJF. Filled contours are obtained by using data from all stations in the region; red and green contours are obtained using stations in mountainous and plain regions respectively.

Fig. S15. As in Fig. S14, but in EMB for JJA

Fig. S16. As in Fig. S14, but in EMB for DJF
Fig. S17. As in Fig. S14, but in EAS(east of 90°E) for JJA.

Fig. S18. As in Fig. S14, but in EAS(east of 90°E) for DJF.

Fig. S19. Estimated probability density functions of predictability metrics (min(Π), max(Π), and \( \alpha(\Pi) \)) conditioned on \(|\rho|\) for observations and all comprehensive models in the NAM domain for DJF. Filled contours are obtained by using data from all stations in the region; red and green contours are obtained using stations in mountainous and plain regions respectively.

Fig. S20. As in Fig. S19, but in EMB for JJA.

Fig. S21. As in Fig. S19, but in EMB for DJF.

Fig. S22. As in Fig. S19, but in EAS(east of 90°E) for JJA.

Fig. S23. As in Fig. S19, but in EAS(east of 90°E) for DJF.
FIG. S1. Top row: observed daily min(Π) for DJF data in NAM, EMB and EAS (east of 90°E) respectively. The second, third and fourth rows show the comparison of predictability metrics derived from observations with those derived from the two RCM models and NCEP-2 reanalysis in terms of the ratio of the modelled value to the observed. The color scale is logarithmic. Stations with black outline are in mountainous regions, those without are in plain regions. M/O stands for model/observation.
Fig. S2. As in Fig. S1, but for $\max(\Pi)$. 
**FIG. S3.** As in Fig. S1, but for $\alpha(\Pi)$. 

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>NAM DJF $\alpha(\Pi)$</th>
<th>EMB DJF $\alpha(\Pi)$</th>
<th>EAS DJF $\alpha(\Pi)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OBS</td>
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<td><img src="image3" alt="Map" /></td>
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<td><img src="image4" alt="Map" /></td>
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<tr>
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</tr>
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FIG. S4. Columns 1-3 show estimated probability density functions of $\log(M/O)$ conditioned on observed values of predictability metrics ($\min(\Pi)$, $\max(\Pi)$ and $\alpha(\Pi)$) for results obtained from the two RCMs and NCEP2 reanalysis in NAM for DJF. Filled contours are obtained by using data from all stations in the region; red and green contours are obtained by stations only from mountainous and plain regions respectively. The last column shows the histogram of the dot product of the unit vectors in the directions of minimum predictability and maximum $M/O$ for both mountainous and plain stations.
Fig. S5. As in Fig. S4, but in EMB for JJA.
Fig. S6. As in Fig. S4, but in EMB for DJF
FIG. S7. As in Fig. S4, but in EAS(east of 90°E) for JJA
FIG. S8. As in Fig. S4, but in EAS(east of 90°E) for DJF.
FIG. S9. Estimated probability density functions of $\alpha(\Pi)$ conditioned on $\zeta$ and $\eta$ in the coordinated system defined by $(\hat{e}_{\text{min}}(\Pi), \hat{e}_{\text{min}}(\Pi)_\perp)$ for observations and all comprehensive models in the NAM domain for DJF. Filled contours are obtained by using data from all stations in the region; red and green contours are obtained using stations in mountainous and plain regions respectively.
Fig. S10. As in Fig. S9, but in EMB for JJA
Fig. S11. As in Fig. S9, but in EMB for DJF.
FIG. S12. As in Fig. S9, but in EAS(east of 90\degree E) for JJA
Fig. S13. As in Fig. S9, but in EAS(east of 90°E) for DJF
**FIG. S14.** Estimated probability density functions of predictability metrics ($\min(\Pi)$, $\max(\Pi)$, and $\alpha(\Pi)$) conditioned on $\ln(\gamma)$ for observations and all comprehensive models in the NAM domain for DJF. Filled contours are obtained by using data from all stations in the region; red and green contours are obtained using stations in mountainous and plain regions respectively.
Fig. S15. As in Fig. S14, but in EMB for JJA
Fig. S16. As in Fig. S14, but in EMB for DJF
Fig. S17. As in Fig. S14, but in EAS(east of 90°E) for JJA
Fig. S18. As in Fig. S14, but in EAS(east of 90°E) for DJF
Fig. S19. Estimated probability density functions of predictability metrics ($\min(\Pi)$, $\max(\Pi)$, and $\alpha(\Pi)$) conditioned on $|\rho|$ for observations and all comprehensive models in the NAM domain for DJF. Filled contours are obtained by using data from all stations in the region; red and green contours are obtained using stations in mountainous and plain regions respectively.
Fig. S20. As in Fig. S19, but in EMB for JJA
FIG. S21. As in Fig. S19, but in EMB for DJF.
FIG. S22. As in Fig. S19, but in EAS(east of 90°E) for JJA
FIG. S23. As in Fig. S19, but in EAS(east of 90°E) for DJF